RE: Appendix A, San Joaquin Valley Draft PM2.5 Attainment Plan

Dear Mr. Sheikh,

The following is graphical representation of public testimony presented by community residents at the August 28th, 2018 and the May 17th, 2017 community meetings in Fresno, CA regarding the San Joaquin Valley’s PM2.5 Attainment Plan. Public record of the testimony can be found on the website cal-span.org.

When an individual asked for more to be done on a certain emission source, or asked for attainment of clean air to move at a faster pace, it was recorded under the categories found on the graph’s X-axis. Comments on agricultural burning and biomass incinerators were grouped under “Ag
Burning.” Comments criticizing the hot-spot strategy, or calling for lowered burn thresholds, a ban on residential burning, need for better wood-burning rule enforcement, need to address pollution from EPA-certified devices, and a prohibition on non-essential burning were grouped under the category “Residential Burning.” Comments regarding cleaner trucks, cleaner agricultural equipment, highway emissions, electric vehicles and electric vehicle charging stations were grouped under the category “Vehicles and Equipment.” The need for more public health information concerning ambient air quality, as well as desire for mitigation practices such as buffer zones and tree planting, were grouped under “Public Health Education and Mitigation.” Comments asking for limited pollution from oil and gas facilities, including comments on flaring and small producers, were grouped under “Oil and Gas.”

Thank you for considering public comment in the development of the Draft PM2.5 Attainment Plan.

Sincerely,

Genevieve Gale
Policy Associate,
Central Valley Air Quality Coalition

CC: Richard Corey, California Air Resources Board